

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/658,566	09/08/2000	Masaaki Ogura	196873US2	2825
22850	7590 11/19/2004		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.			PHAM, THIERRY L	
1940 DUKE ALEXAND	STREET RIA, VA 22314		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2624	
			DATE MAILED: 11/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/658,566	OGURA ET AL.				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thierry L Pham	2624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 18 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION: S	ee MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleaned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	efee. The appropriate exte the final Office action; or (	ension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
<ul><li>(d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.</li><li>NOTE:</li></ul>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	i amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ required place the application in condition for allow 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ance because: See Continuation	Sheet.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,4-8,10,12-16,18 and 20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 2,3,9,11,1	7 and 19.					
8. $\square$ The drawing correction filed on is a) $\square$ app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s).					
10.□ Other:	GABRIEL GARCIA RIMARY EXAMINER					

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments presented by the applicants are not persuasive. Regarding claims 1, 10, 15, and 20, applicants amend "input means for inputting a holiday data of the center system and the customer system" from previously cited "input means for inputting a holiday data of the center system and/or the customer system" found in claims 1, 10, 15-16, 20. By eliminating "and/or" to "and" changes the scope of invention and raise new issues that require further search and consideration.